

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is an irresponsible
and anti democratic
action aimed at
influencing a
national election.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. But when
large companies
control the
airwaves, and are
allowed to censor
what is shown, or
pass off biased
programming as
"News", we get more
of their private
agenda and less of
what we need for our
democracy. Instead
of something
produced that is
meant to influence
or persuade, the
public deserves more
objective reporting
and more substantive
news about issues
that matter.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.